	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/507,247)	EDEDREGICE ELAD
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	D personnel):	
(1) Padmavathi v Baskar.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr.Thomas Kowalski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2001</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)⊠ was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed at the claims</u> . <u>Examiner informed him that she would discussed back to him</u> .	bout "consisting of and consisti	ng essentially of" language in
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that	
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked). 	separate record of the substan	ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	W. (See MPEP Section 713.04 IE MONTH FROM THIS INTEF	4). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
	•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/507,24 2	PREDREGILE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr Thomas Kowalski.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02 November 2001</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)[] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: $\underline{9}$.	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second checked). 	eparate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04 MONTH FROM THIS INTER). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A
		·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

Application No. 09/507,247

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: After discussing with the Director John Doll and Biotechnolgy specialist Brian Stanton, the Examiner indicated to the applicant that "consisting essentially of"language would over come written description rejection but not 112, 1st rejection. Applicant told the examiner that he would send a draft with the changes made to previouly sent amendment and keeping claims with consisting languagage and make minor changes to claim 24 and further showing support for enzymatic activity of polypeptide and amend method claims to depend from claim 1 and cancel the other claims, so that the application will be ready for allowance.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/507,242	FREDREGILL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Padmavathi v Baskar.	(3)			
(2) Mr. Thomas Kowalski (voice mail).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>9/11/0and 11/16/01</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney of record after reviewing the proposed amendment(draft) and informed him that the changes made to the claims were different than the proposed changes discussed on 11/2/01. Since there is not enough time left for responding to the amendement filed on 8/30/01, the examiner will send the office action (message left again on 11/16/01). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims				
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		ould render the claims		
 i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked). 	eparate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary Examin	09/507,242	HANES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Mr.Thomas Kowalski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04 December 2001</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representati	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	-,	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>After receiving the final claims sent on 11/8/01. Applicant discussed about the clapolynucleotide SEQ.ID.NO; 1</u>	<u>rejection the attorney of recor</u>	rd wanted to discuss the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that	
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked). 	eparate record of the substan	ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	/. (See MPEP Section 713.04 EMONTH FROM THIS INTER	4). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
	•	
•		
,		Ω
		4
Examinar Nota: You must sign this form unloss it is an		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required